# International Journal of Medical Anesthesiology

Dr. Deepak Dhummansure and Dr. MD. Furguan Inamdar

group had significant sedation, p < 0.05 (here it is p=0.01) compared to fentanyl group.

#### E-ISSN: 2664-3774 P-ISSN: 2664-3766 www.anesthesiologypaper.com IJMA 2020; 3(4): 07-10 Received: 05-08-2020 Accepted: 07-09-2020 Intrathecal bupivacaine (0.5%) with clonidine (1µg/kg) versus intrathecal bupivacaine (0.5%) with fentanyl (25 µg): Effects on Hemodynamic changes

# Dr. Deepak Dhummansure

Associate Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, ESIC Medical College, Kalaburagi, Karnataka, India

#### Dr. MD. Furquan Inamdar

Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, ESIC Medical College, Kalaburagi, Karnataka, India

Keywords: Bupivacaine, fentanyl, hemodynamic changes

### Introduction

Abstract

Spinal anaesthesia is the regional anaesthesia obtained by blocking the spinal nerves in the subarachnoid space. The anaesthetic agents are deposited in the subarachnoid space and act on the spinal nerve roots and not on the substance of the cord <sup>[1]</sup>.

Bupivacaine controls pain at the axonal level by interfering with the nerve membrane. It physically

blocks the sodium channel by reversibly binding to receptors on the intracellular side of the membrane.

While the sodium channel is inactive, an action potential cannot form and nerve impulse conduction

cannot be sent across the nerve membrane and to the brain. The result of this is a loss of feeling or

numbness when the drug is given. This clinical study was conducted on 60 adult patients of ASA

physical status 1 & 2 in the age group of 18 years to 60 years, of either sex, posted for elective lower limb, lower abdominal, gynaecological and urological surgeries under spinal anesthesia. The changes in diastolic blood pressure at 30 min and 60 min were statistically significant p<0.05 (here it is p=0.016 and p=0.001). There were not much differences in the diastolic blood pressure observed upto 180 minutes after the administration of the drugs except at 30 and 60 min. Majority of patients in clonidine

Bupivacaine, introduced in 1963 is a widely used amide local anaesthetic. It is an aminoamide compound that causes reversible blockade of nerve impulses to control pain. Bupivacaine is used for peripheral nerve blocks, caudal block, epidural block, sympathetic blocks and spinal block. The degree of neural blockade depends on the site of injection, which in return determines the amount of drug that reaches the target nerve <sup>[2]</sup>.

The structure of bupivacaine is similar to that of lidocaine, except that the amide containing group is a butylpiperidine. It is four times as potent as lidocaine, is slower in onset but has a significantly longer duration of action.

Bupivacaine is the hydrochloride salt of (di)-1-butyle-2'6'-pipecoloxylidide and is presented as the racemic mixture.

Bupivacaine controls pain at the axonal level by interfering with the nerve membrane. It physically blocks the sodium channel by reversibly binding to receptors on the intracellular side of the membrane. While the sodium channel is inactive, an action potential cannot form and nerve impulse conduction cannot be sent across the nerve membrane and to the brain. The result of this is a loss of feeling or numbness when the drug is given <sup>[3]</sup>.

It is thought that the local anaesthetic receptors are protein bound receptors located near the sodium channel. Bupivacaine is highly protein bound which results in a slower dissociation from the receptor and a subsequent prolonged effect. Once metabolized, bupivacaine and its metabolites are excreted by the kidneys through urine <sup>[4]</sup>.

Fentanyl citrate is a synthetic phenylpiperidine opioid analgesic and chemical congener of the reversed ester of pethidine. It is a powerful, safe and rapidly acting analgesic. Fentanyl is highly lipid soluble and has a low molecular weight. Fentanyl is widely available for parenteral use and also in buccal, transdermal and aerosolised formulation. Fentanyl provides analgesia and muscle relaxation<sup>[5]</sup>.

Clonidine is a partial agonist at  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptors both within the central nervous system and in the periphery. It is more specific for  $\alpha$ 2-adrenoceptors than for  $\alpha$ 1-adrenoceptors with a ratio of affinities at these sites of approximately 200:1.

Within the central nervous system  $\alpha_2$ -adrenoceptors are located both presynaptically on

Corresponding Author: Dr. MD. Furquan Inamdar Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, ESIC Medical College, Kalaburagi, Karnataka, India terminals of neurons which release a variety of transmitters – norepinephrine, epinephrine, serotonin and acetylcholine, and postsynaptically on nor-adrenergic neurons. It is likely that clonidine acts at all central  $\alpha_2$ -receptors, stimulation of which is associated with decreased neuronal excitability and inhibition of membrane – bound adenylate cyclase. High concentrations of clonidine may stimulate central  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptors enhancing neuronal excitability.

# Methodology

This clinical study was conducted on 60 adult patients of ASA physical status 1 & 2 in the age group of 18 years to 60 years, of either sex, posted for elective lower limb, lower abdominal, gynaecological and urological surgeries under spinal anesthesia. After approval from the hospital ethical committee, a prospective double blind randomized controlled study was carried out on 60 adult patients. Patients were randomly divided on an alternative basis into two groups of 30 each.

Group "C" - Bupivacaine plus clonidine group. Group "F" - Bupivacaine plus fentanyl group.

# **Inclusion criteria**

- 1. ASA grade 1 and 2 patients.
- 2. Age group of 18–60 yrs.
- 3. Patients giving valid informed consent.
- 4. Those patients scheduled to undergo elective lower abdominal, lower extremity, gynaecological or urological surgeries under subarachnoid block.

# **Exclusion criteria**

- 1. Patient refusal.
- 2. Patients belonging to ASA grade 3 and grade 4.
- 3. Patients physically dependant on narcotics.
- 4. Patients with history of drug allergy.
- 5. Patients with gross spinal abnormality, localized skin sepsis, hemorrhagic diathesis or neurological involvement / diseases.
- 6. Head injury cases.
- 7. Patients with cardiac, pulmonary, hepatic or renal disorders.
- 8. Patients having inadequate subarachnoid blockade and who are later supplemented by general anaesthesia.
- 9. Obstetric cases for lower segment caesarean section because of drug dosage discrepancy.

# Results

Table 1: Heart rate

| Heart Rate | Group C |        | Group F |       | Cionificanco |
|------------|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------------|
|            | Mean    | SD     | Mean    | SD    | Significance |
| Baseline   | 79.07   | 15.667 | 80.8    | 2.809 | P>0.05       |
| 5 min      | 76.7    | 5.059  | 78.23   | 3.441 | P>0.05       |
| 10 min     | 72.52   | 5.369  | 74.3    | 3.344 | P>0.05       |
| 15 min     | 68.53   | 5.764  | 70.53   | 3.014 | P>0.05       |
| 30 min     | 65.97   | 6.446  | 69.13   | 2.389 | P=0.014*     |
| 60 min     | 65.57   | 13.26  | 69.17   | 3.573 | P>0.05       |
| 120 min    | 72.1    | 2.845  | 71.4    | 2.01  | P>0.05       |
| 180 min    | 73.63   | 3.643  | 74.37   | 2.58  | P>0.05       |

\*Indicates significant value.

The heart rate change at 30 min were statistically significant p < 0.05 (here it is p-0.014) There were not much differences in the heart rate observed upto 180 minutes after the administration of the drugs except at 30 min there were no significant changes in the heart rates between the 2 groups at corresponding time intervals with significance value more than 0.05.

Table 2: Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)

| SBP (mmHg) | Group C |       | Group F |       | Significance |
|------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|
|            | Mean    | SD    | Mean    | SD    | Significance |
| Baseline   | 119.8   | 5.665 | 121.13  | 5.374 | P>0.05       |
| 5 min      | 113.8   | 5.665 | 116     | 5.32  | P>0.05       |
| 10 min     | 109.43  | 5.655 | 111.83  | 6.08  | P>0.05       |
| 15 min     | 103.87  | 5.68  | 106.07  | 5.159 | P>0.05       |
| 30 min     | 99.3    | 8.809 | 102.43  | 5.823 | P>0.05       |
| 60 min     | 102.2   | 7.761 | 105.67  | 4.845 | P=0.042*     |
| 120 min    | 107.13  | 4.776 | 109.97  | 3.664 | P>0.05       |
| 180 min    | 109.97  | 3.368 | 110.5   | 3.511 | P>0.05       |

\*Indicates significant value.

The changes in systolic blood pressure at 60 min were statistically significant p<0.05 (here it is p=0.042)There were not much differences in the systolic blood pressure observed upto 180 minutes after the administration of the drugs except at 60 min. Statistically there were no significant changes in the systolic blood pressure between the 2 groups at corresponding time intervals with significance value more than 0.05.

| DBP(mmHg) | Group C |       | Group F |       | <u> </u>     |
|-----------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|
|           | Mean    | SD    | Mean    | SD    | Significance |
| Baseline  | 79.33   | 5.313 | 80.27   | 5.747 | P>0.05       |
| 5 min     | 73.87   | 5.728 | 76.67   | 5.665 | P>0.05       |
| 10 min    | 69.43   | 4.546 | 71.8    | 5.665 | P>0.05       |
| 15 min    | 65.23   | 5.131 | 67.03   | 5.732 | P>0.05       |
| 30 min    | 60.84   | 4.608 | 64.11   | 5.101 | P=0.016*     |
| 60 min    | 60.35   | 3.257 | 65.55   | 5.002 | P=0.001*     |
| 120 min   | 68.27   | 3.814 | 68.47   | 4.485 | P>0.05       |
| 180 min   | 70.1    | 4.139 | 69.7    | 3.834 | P>0.05       |

Table 3: Blood pressure: DBP(mmHg)

\*Indicates significant value.

The changes in diastolic blood pressure at 30 min and 60 min were statistically significant p<0.05 (here it is p=0.016 and p=0.001). There were not much differences in the diastolic blood pressure observed upto 180 minutes after the administration of the drugs except at 30 and 60 min.

Statistically there were no significant changes in the diastolic blood pressure between the 2 groups at corresponding time intervals with significance value more than 0.05.

Table 4: Sedation score

|                | Group C |      | Group F |      | Significance |
|----------------|---------|------|---------|------|--------------|
| Sedation_Score | Mean    | S.D  | Mean    | S.D  | P=0.01*      |
|                | 1.53    | .507 | .40     | .498 |              |

\*Indicates significant value.

Significance value from Fisher's exact test is 0.856.

Majority of patients in clonidine group had significant sedation, p < 0.05 (here it is p=0.01) compared to fentanyl group.

# Discussion

There were significant differences between the two groups with respect to the occurrences of bradycardia, hypotension, pruritus and in sedation scores as the significance values obtained from Fisher's exact test were less than 0.05 for each of these variables.

In our study heart rate change at 30 min were statistically significant p < 0.05 (here it is p-0.014) There were not much differences in the heart rate observed up to 180 minutes after the administration of the drugs except at 30 min there were no significant changes in the heart rates between the 2 groups at corresponding time intervals with significance value more than 0.05.

The changes in systolic blood pressure at 60 min were statistically significant p < 0.05 (here it is p-0.042). There were not much differences in the systolic blood pressure observed upto 180 minutes after the administration of the drugs except at 60 min. Statistically there were no significant changes in the systolic blood pressure between the 2 groups at corresponding time intervals with significance value more than 0.05.

The changes in diastolic blood pressure at 30 min and 60 min were statistically significant p<0.05 (here it is p-0.016 and p-0.001). There were not much differences in the diastolic blood pressure observed upto 180 minutes after the administration of the drugs except at 30 and 60 min. Statistically there were no significant changes in the diastolic blood pressure between the 2 groups at corresponding time intervals with significance value more than 0.05.

A study done in 2007, B.S. Sethi *et al.* Low dose intrathecal clonidine as adjuvant to bupivacaine found statistically significant decrease in mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate was noted in the Clonidine group compared to the Control group, none of the patients required any therapeutic intervention for either <sup>[6]</sup>.

Racle *et al.* in their study using isobaric bupivacaine spinal anaesthesia with epinephrine and clonidine for hip surgery in elderly found that intrathecal clonidine  $(150 \ \mu g)$  for patients aged 75 years or more resulted in a decrease in systolic blood pressure of only 15% from resting values.

Filos *et al.* reported significant decrease in arterial blood pressure after administration of  $150\mu g$  of clonidine, but heart rate was unaffected in their study.

Mercier *et al.* observed a 63% incidence of hypotension (systolicblood pressure < 95 mmHg or decreased by > 25%) with clonidine 30  $\mu$ g and sufentanil 5  $\mu$ g versus a 12% incidence with sufentanil alone.

D'Angelo *et al.* similarly found a 60% incidence of hypotension (< 20% decrease in systolic blood pressure) with clonidine 50  $\mu$ g, bupivacaine 2.5 mg, and sufentanil 7.5  $\mu$ g, compared with 33% in the IT bupivacaine-sufentanil control group.

B S Sethi, 2007, demonstrated that addition of clonidine to bupivacaine in the dose of  $1\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup>significantly increase the duration of analgesia following its placement in subarachnoid space as compared to bupivacaine alone. These doses have an effect on sedation level, heart rate and mean arterial pressure which does not however, require any therapeutic intervention. The results of their study showed that addition of  $1\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup>of clonidine to intrathecal bupivacaine is safe and likely to be as effective as higher dosages minimizing the side effects <sup>[6]</sup>.

Negri *et al.*, 1997, looked at the interactions and effects on the cardiovascular system of spinal anaesthesia with clonidine and bupivacaine in young humans and found the addition of 105  $\mu$ g clonidine to hyperbaric bupivacaine 1% was particularly useful in unilateral spinal anaesthesia, exerting minimal influence on haemodynamic parameters and guarantying a satisfactory postoperative analgesia <sup>[7]</sup>.

Chiari *et al.*, 1998, in a dose response study using intrathecal clonidine as sole analgesic during first stage of labour found that 50-200 $\mu$ g of intrathecal clonidine produces dose dependent analgesia. Although the duration and quality of analgesia were more pronounced with 100 $\mu$ g (60-180min) and 200 $\mu$ g (75-210min) than with 50 $\mu$ g (25-150 min), the high incidence of hypotension required caution with use of 200  $\mu$ g for labor analgesia <sup>[8]</sup>.

Gary M. stocks, 2001 found that combination of 2.5 mg bupivacaine with 25  $\mu$ g fentanyl is associated with high success rates for provision of analgesia in labor. study aimed to determine the median effective dose (ED50) of intrathecal bupivacaine, defined as the minimum local analgesic dose (MLAD), and then use this to assess the effect of different doses of fentanyl.<sup>9</sup> There was a dose-dependent increase in both pruritus and duration of spinal analgesia with increasing fentanyl. Under the conditions of this study, the addition of intrathecal fentanyl 5 mg offers a similar significant bupivacaine dose-sparing effect as 15 and 25 mg. analgesia in the first stage of labor can be achieved using lower doses of fentanyl, resulting in less pruritus but with a shortening of duration of action.

# Conclusion

Intrathecal administration of clonidine  $1\mu g.kg^{-1}$  as additive to intrathecal hyperbaric bupivacaine was associated with side effects like bradycardia and hypotension which did not require any treatment.

#### References

- Larson MD. History of anaesthetic practice. In Miller's anaesthesia. Ed by Ronald D Miller. 6<sup>th</sup> edn. Churchill Livingstone 2005, 3-52.
- Brill S, Gurman M, Fisher A. A history of administration of local analgesics and opioids. Eur J Anaesth 2003;20:682-689.
- 3. Maduska AL, Hajghassemali M. A double blind comparison of butorphanol and meperidine in labour: maternal pain relief and effect on the new born. Canadian Anaesthetists' society journal 1978;25:398-404.
- 4. Wang JK, Nauss LE, Thoams JE. Pain relief by intrathecally applied morphine in man. Anaesthesiology 1979;50:149-151.
- 5. Pert CB, Synder SH. Opiate receptor: demonstration in nervous tissue. Science 1973;179:1011-1014.
- 6. Sethi BS, Samuel M, Sreevastava D. Efficacy of

analgesic effects of low dose intrathecal clonidine as adjuvant to bupivacaine. Indian J. Anaesth 2007;51(5):415-419.

- 7. Srivastava U, Kumar A, Saxena S, Saxena R, Gandhi NK, Salar P. Spinal anaesthesia with lignocaine and fentanyl. Indian J. Anaesth 2004;48(2):121-123.
- Goodchild CS, Guo Z, Musgreave A, Gent JP. Antinociception by intrathecal midazolam involves endogenous neurotransmitters acting at spinal cord delta opioid receptors. Br J Anaeth 1996;77(6):758-763.
- 9. Schug SA, Saunders D, Kurowski I, Paech MJ. Neuraxial drug administration: a review of treatment options for anaesthesia and analgesia. CNS Drugs 2006;20(11):917-933.