Efficacy of intravenous dexmedetomidine for attenuation of hemodynamic stress response to laryngoscopy and intubation
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Abstract

Background: Hemodynamic variation during laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation with reflex increase in sympathetic and sympathoadrenal activity is always matter of major concern for anesthesiologist, which may result in catastrophic effect, such as tachycardia, hypertension, myocardial ischemia, cardiac arrhythmia or cerebrovascular accident. To attenuate haemodynamic response various drug such as lignocaine, opioids, nitroprusside, nitroglycerine, verapamil, nifedipine, esmolol has been used. Alpha-2 adrenergic agonist decrease sympathetic tone and obtund hemodynamic response to noxious stimulation and prevent overall hemodynamic variability.

Aims and objectives: To study the efficacy of iv dexmedetomidine for attenuating stress responses to laryngoscopy and intubation.

Materials and Methods: Eighty patients, ASA grade I/II, undergoing routine general anesthesia were randomly premedicated by i.v. dexmedetomidine (1µg/kg in 50 cc NS) or saline via infusion pump over 10 min. Heart rate (HR), mean arterial pressure (MAP) were measured before, after the premedication, after propofol, after succinylcholine, at laryngoscopy, immediately after intubation and then 1 min, 3 min and 5 min after intubation.

Results: The demographic data was comparable in both groups. After intubation the increase in heart rate was more in group C than group D (p<0.0001) at laryngoscopy and after intubation thus showed less fluctuation of HR in the group D than in the group C. The increase in MAP in the group C at laryngoscopy and intubation was higher than that in the group D (p<0.0001) and exceeded the baseline value (p<0.05) Thus the pressor response to laryngoscopy and intubation were effectively decreased by dexmedetomidine and were statistically highly significant on comparison to group C (p<0.05).

Conclusion: A single preanesthetic dose of iv dexmedetomidine 1ug/kg is advantageous as it is found to be effective in attenuating the haemodynamic response of laryngoscopy and intubation and prevent its adverse effect.
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Introduction

Laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation are considered as most critical events during general anesthesia as they provoke a transient but marked, sympathetic and sympathoadrenal response [1]. So, anesthesiologist is always worried about this pressor response which leads to abnormal circulatory reaction which may be severe or prolonged [2]. The circulatory response in the form of increased heart rate and raised blood pressure usually occurs for short duration and is unpredictable. This transient increase in blood pressure and pulse rate does not cause any harm in healthy individuals but may create problem in patients with myocardial insufficiency or cerebrovascular disease [3] which may further cause complications like pulmonary oedema, myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular accidents [4, 5]. Pressor response is exaggerated in hypertensive patients even though made normotensive with antihypertensive medications [6] and reflects intraoperatively with complications like myocardial infarction, acute left ventricular failure [7], arrhythmias and intracranial bleed [8]. Several techniques have been studied to attenuate this stress response, but none of them are completely satisfactory. Hence, there is a constant search to attenuate the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation. Modern anesthesia practices, therefore, plan to prevent sympathetic discharge and provide hemodynamic stability perioperatively. As intravenous induction agents are not able to blunt stress response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation, we have to add other pharmacological agents like lidocaine, sodium nitroprusside, calcium channel blockers, nitro-glycerine, beta blockers, inhalational anaesthetic agents, ACE inhibitors. In last few years, a great enthusiasm has been shown toward the use of α2 agonists in anesthesia practice because of their anxiolytic, sedative, sympatholytic, and analgesic-sparing properties. Dexmedetomidine is a selective α2 agonist with 8 times more affinity for α2 adrenergic receptors.
compared to clonidine and possesses all the properties of α2 agonist without respiratory depression\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\). Intravenous use of dexmedetomidine in the perioperative period had been found to decrease serum catecholamine levels by 90%\(^11\), to blunt the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy, tracheal intubation, pneumoperitoneum and extubation\(^12\) to provide sedation without respiratory depression and to decrease postoperative analgesic requirement\(^13\). This study was undertaken to assess efficacy of intravenous dexmedetomidine (1 µg/kg) infusion in obtunding the pressor response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation.

**Materials and Methods**

This double blind, randomized, case control study was carried out 80 patients after approval of institutional ethical committee in tertiary care hospital. Patient aged between 18 and 55 years American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I and II undergoing elective surgery under general anesthesia were enrolled in this study. The primary aim of this study is to compare the efficacy of iv dexmedetomidine in the dose of (1 µg/kg body weight) in attenuating the pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. Patients were randomly allotted into two groups, group C (Control group) and group D (dexmedetomidine group) with 40 patients in each group. In group D patients were pre-mediated with a single dose of dexmedetomidine 1µg/kg and the same amount of saline was given to the patient in group C. Patients were excluded with H/O hypertension, coronary, cerebral diseases, peripheral vascular diseases, neurological disorder, endocordial disorder, hepatic and renal diseases, patients on drugs such as β blockers or calcium channel blockers. Obese patients, anticipated difficult airway, Patient requiring more than one attempt of intubation. History suggestive of sensitivity to study drugs, pregnant, lactating mother. Patient fulfilling inclusion criteria were included in this study. All patients were premedicated with tablet alprazolam 0.5 mg at bedtime the night before surgery. They were kept nil orally 10 pm onwards on the previous night. On arrival of the patient in the operating room baseline parameters such as heart rate (HR), mean arterial pressure (MAP), respiratory rate (RR), and oxygen saturation (SpO2) were recorded. All patients were prehydrated with 500 ml of Ringer’s lactate solution. Monitoring included three lead ECG, plethysmographic pulse oximeter, capnometry, non-invasive arterial pressure was performed. The observations were made by the same observer in all the patients so as to avoid observer bias. Patients were randomly allocated into two groups. Randomization was done by computer generated randomization table using random sequence was generated by random allocation software. Group D received single bolus IV dose of dexmedetomidine (1 µg/kg) diluted upto 50 ml over 10 min by infusion pump as pre-medication and Group C (n=40) received same volume of normal saline (50 ml) as pre-medication via infusion and the infusion was completed 10 min before induction. Syringe containing premedication (either dexmedetomidine or normal saline) was prepared by a team member who was not involved in the data recording. All the patients in both group were premedicated with injection glycopyrrolate 0.004 mg/kg IV, injection Ondansetron 0.08 mg/kg IV, injection midazolam 0.02 mg/ kg IV, and injection fentanyl 1 mcg/kg IV before preoxygenation. Ramsay sedation scale score was employed for assessing the sedation before induction of anesthesia in both the groups (1 = anxious, agitated and restless, 2 = awake, cooperative, tranquil and oriented, 3 = responds to verbal commands, 4 = brisk response to loud noise, 5 = sluggish response to loud noise, 6 = no response to loud noise). After preoxygenation, all patients were induced with injection propofol solution at dose of 2mg/kg iv till loss of the eye lash reflex occurred. Endotracheal intubation was facilitated with 2 mg/kg of succinylcholine given IV 1 min prior to laryngoscopy and intubation. Laryngoscopy was performed using Macintosh blade size 3 and intubation using the intratracheal tube (size 7.5-8 mm/cuffed) were carried out by a senior anesthesiologist or by a 2-year trained resident in anesthesiology. After intubation tube connected to closed circuit bilateral equal air entry confirmed, endotracheal tube was fixed. No surgical or any other stimulus was applied during 10 min of study period and vecuronium was the only additional drug given during this period. Anesthesia was maintained using 33% oxygen and 66% nitrous oxide with isoflurane and vecuronium. At the end of the procedure, patients were reversed with an injection neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg IV and injection glycopyrrolate 0.08 mg/kg IV. Patients were extubated when they regained reflexes and consciousness. Hemodynamic parameter (HR, MAP) were recorded at following time interval:

- **T0**: Baseline on OT table
- **T1**: 10 min after pre-medication.
- **T2**: 30 seconds after propofol.
- **T3**: 30 second after succinylcholine at laryngoscopy.
- **T4**: immediately after intubation.
- **T5**: at 1 min after intubation.
- **T6**: at 3 min after intubation
- **T7**: at 5 min after intubation

**Statistical analysis**

The sample size was calculated by power analysis of a pilot study. A sample size of 27 patients per group was required to detect a 20% change in heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure between baseline and intubation time, with a power of 90% at the 5% significance level. To account for possible loss, sample size 40 in each group was decided. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Nominal categorical data among study groups were compared using the chi-square test. Independent t- test was used to compare the study group and the control group. Comparison of groups from baseline was done by Wilcoxon sign rank test. The statistical software SPSS 16 (SPSS 16 Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis of data. A p value <0.05 was consider significant.

**Result**

The both groups were comparable in term of age, sex, weight, ASA physical status, duration of laryngoscopy and intubation (table1). It was decided to exclude those patients, who require more than one attempt for intubation. In our study all patients were intubated in first attempt and there was no exclusion and time taken for laryngoscopy and intubation was comparable in both groups. The changes in HR and MAP in both groups at different time interval are shown in fig 1. Base line (T0) HR and MAP was comparable in both groups. In group D, there was statistically significant initial fall in HR after dexmedetomidine (p<0.05). In both groups there was rise in HR, immediately after laryngoscopy and intubation (T4),
remained raised for 3 min postintubation ($P<0.05$). But this rise was statistically significantly more in group C from T1-T5 as compared to group D ($P<0.05$). Heart rate in both groups was almost near to the baseline values at (T6) ($P>0.05$). The maximum increases in HR in group C was 31.6% at T4 and in group D HR was not higher than preoperative value at all time and even at T4 time interval after intubation. No statistical significance was noted in MAP between groups at baseline, 3rd and 5th min after intubation. The maximum increase in MAP was 9.5% at T4 in group C. The MAP was increased significantly compared with preoperative value after intubation in the group C ($p<0.05$) and was significantly higher than in group D from T1-T5 ($p<0.05$). In the group D, MAP was not significantly higher than the preoperative value at all times. The dexmedetomidine group had a better control of heart rate and blood pressure than the control group [Table 2 & 3]. No incidence of hypotension or bradycardia requiring intervention was reported in both groups.

**Table 1:** Demographic profile of patient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>35.20±13.43</td>
<td>34.75±16.65</td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>22/18</td>
<td>21/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>73.42±13.51</td>
<td>75.14±10.49</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>161.21±7.86</td>
<td>163.14±6.30</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.23±3.33</td>
<td>26.37±4.37</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laryngoscopy &amp; Intubation time (sec)</td>
<td>10.16±0.29</td>
<td>10.76±11.37</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean ± SD. *$P<0.05$ statistically significant, **$P<0.001$ statistically highly significant. T0: Baseline on OT table, T1: 10 min after premedication., T2: 30 seconds after propofol, T3: 30 second after succinylcholine at laryngoscopy, T4: immediately after intubation, T5: at 1 min after intubation, T6: at 3 min after intubation, T7: at 5 min after intubation.

**Table 2: Comparison of mean HR (beats/min) in both groups at various time intervals.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0 (min)</td>
<td>84.91±06.50</td>
<td>85.17±06.38</td>
<td>0.8567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 (min)</td>
<td>88.23±08.88</td>
<td>74.78±07.95</td>
<td>0.0324*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (min)</td>
<td>86.12±05.12</td>
<td>74.12±06.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (min)</td>
<td>85.31±07.37</td>
<td>73.91±08.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 (min)</td>
<td>111.75±05.76</td>
<td>82.25±06.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 (min)</td>
<td>98.13±03.59</td>
<td>80.25±04.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 (min)</td>
<td>86.25±06.25</td>
<td>79.75±03.54</td>
<td>0.0363*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7 (min)</td>
<td>80.01±07.57</td>
<td>78.78±09.97</td>
<td>0.5846</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean ± SD. *$P<0.05$ statistically significant, **$P<0.001$ statistically highly significant. T0: Baseline on OT table, T1: 10 min after pre-medication., T2: 30 seconds after propofol, T3: 30 second after succinylcholine at laryngoscopy, T4: immediately after intubation, T5: at 1 min after intubation, T6: at 3 min after intubation, T7: at 5 min after intubation.

**Table 3: Comparison of mean arterial blood pressure in both groups at various time intervals.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0 (min)</td>
<td>92.50±08.51</td>
<td>93.17±07.35</td>
<td>0.8564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 (min)</td>
<td>95.53±08.88</td>
<td>88.73±07.96</td>
<td>0.0483*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (min)</td>
<td>96.35±07.59</td>
<td>84.71±06.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (min)</td>
<td>98.51±10.37</td>
<td>85.91±07.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 (min)</td>
<td>101.25±13.76</td>
<td>86.25±11.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 (min)</td>
<td>92.02±11.19</td>
<td>84.12±09.23</td>
<td>0.0397*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 (min)</td>
<td>84.92±10.08</td>
<td>83.75±11.58</td>
<td>0.6968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7 (min)</td>
<td>83.56±11.47</td>
<td>82.89±12.17</td>
<td>0.7468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean ± SD. *$P<0.05$ statistically significant, **$P<0.001$ statistically highly significant. T0: Baseline on OT table, T1: 10 min after pre-medication., T2: 30 seconds after propofol, T3: 30 second after succinylcholine at laryngoscopy, T4: immediately after intubation, T5: at 1 min after intubation, T6: at 3 min after intubation, T7: at 5 min after intubation.

**Discussion**

Laryngoscopy and intubation are most stressful conditions to which the patient is subjected following induction of anaesthesia, is almost always associated with hemodynamic changes due to intense autonomic reflex response. This increased in sympathoadrenal activity may result in increase in heart rate, blood pressure, intracranial tension, intraocular pressure and cardiac arrhythmias. Transitory hypertension and tachycardia may predispose to the development of pulmonary edema, and myocardial insufficiency, cardiac asystole, coronary and cerebral infarction. Various agents such as opioids, beta adrenergic blockers, calcium channel antagonists, and clonidine have been used to blunt the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation, but they all had limitations. Dexmedetomine is a α2 agonist with sedative, sympatholytic, and analgesic properties and hence it can be a very useful adjuvant in anesthesia as stress response buster. Pretreatment with dexmedetomine attenuates hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation. Several researchers [1-18] have used 0.5-1 mcg/kg of dexmedetomine to attenuate stress response to intubation. A biphasic cardiovascular response has been described after the administration of dexmedetomine [19]. A bolus dose of 1μg/kg results in a transient increase in BP and reflex decrease in HR in young healthy patients. Initial response is due to α2 receptor stimulation of vascular smooth muscle. This response can be markedly decreased by slow infusion over 10 min. In our study, this effect was not noticed due to the slow infusion of the dexmedetomine over 10 min via infusion pump. Dexmedetomine in locus ceruleus stimulation of α2 A and α2 C cause sedation. Several researchers [15, 17, 20-21] have reported that dexmedetomine infusion produces sedation which mimics normal sleep, patients are arousable to verbal commands, and it lacks respiratory depression. In present study, injection midazolam and injection fentanyl used as premedication, in the pre induction period of all patients in group C had sedation score 2 while it was 3 in group D, it was due to additional sedative action of dexmedetomine. None of the patients in either group had respiratory depression or fall in SpO2.

Propofol is favourable induction agent with cardiovascular depressive property and is more effective at suppressing stress hormone release than thiopental. In our study propofol...
Dose requirement was 16% less in group D as compared to group C (P<0.001). In previous study thiopental was used as induction agent and induction dose of which was significantly decreased in dexmedetomidine group than control. Keniya et al.,[19] Scheinin et al.,[16] and Bajwa et al.,[21] reported decreased thiopentone requirement for induction of anesthesia in the dexmedetomidine group.

In group D following infusion of dexmedetomidine, there was 12.35% reduction in heart rate and 4.76% in MAP, while in group C there was no change in mean HR and mean MAP as compare to baseline parameter. Observations of our study were similar to the observations of other studies, which can be explained on the basis of decreased central nervous system sympathetic activity.[14-15,17] Few authors noted a significant fall in HR in the dexmedetomidine group and an insignificant fall in MAP.[1,19].

Dexmedetomidine by activation of both α2 A and α2 C receptors in the spinal cord directly reduce pain transmission by reducing the release of substance P. In both groups there was increase in HR and MAP with intubation and laryngoscopy. Increase in HR and MAP was maximum immediately after intubation in group C when compare to baseline. Maximum increase in mean heart rate was around 31.6% in group C (P<0.05) when compared to baseline and maximum increase in mean arterial pressure was 9.5% in group C (P<0.05). In group D variation in hemodynamic parameter due to intubation response was less as compare to group C mainly due sympatholytic activity of dexmedetomidine. Similar result was obtained in previous study.[14-18].

Studies suggest that periproductive use of dexmedetomidine may result in a decreased risk of adverse cardiac events, including myocardial ischemia.[23] α adrenoreceptors stimulation can beneficially modulate coronary blood flow during myocardial ischemia by preventing transmural redistribution of blood flow away from the ischemic endocardium by specific epicardial vasoconstrictive effects, leading to improvement in endocardial perfusion (the reverse steal effect) and by decreasing HR. This property along with hemodynamic stability and attenuation of intubation response makes dexmedetomidine an ideal anesthetic adjuvant, particularly for patients undergoing coronary bypass grafting.

No serious side effects like hypotension and bradycardia were observed during study period in either group. This may be due to adequate plasma volume expansion and inj glycopyrrolate used as premedication. The change in hemodynamic parameter due to intubation stress response was less affected in dexmedetomidine group than control. Thus dexmedetomidine provided better protection against unwanted hemodynamic side effect of intubation and laryngoscopy by blunting the stress response than placebo.

Limitation
We did not measure the plasma epinephrine levels and extubation response. More studies need to be done in high risk hypertensive and cardiac patient as dexmedetomidine can be more beneficial in such patients.

Conclusion
We conclude that IV dexmedetomidine 1mcg/kg given slowly over 10 min as premedication had been shown to be effective and safe in attenuating stress induced sympathoadrenal response thus protecting the patient from noxious sympathetic stimulation and hemodynamic changes during laryngoscopy and intubation to prevent its consequences without any significant side effect.
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