

International Journal of Medical Anesthesiology

E-ISSN: 2664-3774 P-ISSN: 2664-3766

www.anesthesiologypaper.com

IJMA 2019; 2(2): 250-252 Received: 21-11-2019 Accepted: 30-12-2019

Dr. Jose B Cheravath

Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, P K Das Medical College, Vaniyamkulam, Ottapalam, Palakkad, Kerala, India

Dr. Jitin George

Assistant Professor,
Department of Anaesthesia, P
K Das Medical College,
Vaniyamkulam, Ottapalam,
Palakkad, Kerala, India

Etomidate and Ketofol as induction agents: A comparative study of haemodynamic parameters

Dr. Jose B Cherayath and Dr. Jitin George

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26643766.2019.v2.i2d.59

Abstract

Background: For treating a variety of psychiatric disorders, Electroconvulsive therapy is a widely used and safe evidence. Hemodynamic effects, seizure activity, cognitive functions determine the choice of anaesthetic agents.

Aim: The present study evaluates and compares the effects of protocol and Etomidate on recovery pattern, hemodynamic effects and activity of seizures in patients undergoing electroconvulsive therapy. **Materials and Methods:** The present study is a single blinded randomised controlled study which was conducted in 100 patients undergoing ECT in the age group of 20 to 65 years of either sex were selected consecutively and randomly divided into two groups namely group A which consisted of 50 patients who received Ketofol (propofol 1mg/kg + ketamine 0.5mg/kg) and group B which consisted of 50 patients who received etomidate (0.2 mg/kg body weight).

Results: Comparison of the mean systolic blood pressure, mean diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial blood pressure in the study group was not statistically significant. Seizure duration in the study group showed statistically significant differences. Induction of high quality and longer seizures observed in etomidate group. Ketofol group had a shorter time to return of spontaneous respiration, time to eye opening on command and time to respond to verbal commands when compared to etomidate group.

Conclusion: Patients who received ketofol had a shorter time to return of spontaneous respiration, time to eye opening on command and time to respond to verbal commands when compared to patients who received etomidate.

Keywords: Electroconvulsive therapy, haemodynamic effects

Introduction

In treating variety of psychiatric disorders, electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is widely used and safe evidence ^[1]. Duration of seizure, hemodynamic and recovery parameters are the dependent factors for selecting the correct anaesthetic agent for electroconvulsive therapy. Etomidate is known to increase seizure duration when compared to propofol ^[2]. But however etomidate was reported to have increased confusion after ECT and had longer recovery. Ketofol (a combination of ketamine and propofol) is reported to have better cognitive recovery and better antidepressant effects ^[3]. In ECT, the main objective of general anaesthesia is to produce an unconscious state with muscle paralysis ^[4]. Recovery pattern, hemodynamic effects, activity of seizure, cognitive functions and cost effectiveness of the procedure is the choice of anaesthetic agent ^[5]. The present study evaluates and compares the effects of propofol and etomidate on recovery pattern, hemodynamic effects and activity of seizures in patients undergoing electroconvulsive therapy (ECT).

Materials and Methods

The present study is a single blinded randomised controlled study which was conducted in the department of anaesthesiology. This study was conducted between November 2017 to December 2018 and after approval from Institutional ethics committee. 100 patients undergoing ECT in the age group of 20 to 65 years of either sex were selected consecutively and randomly divided into two groups namely group A which consisted of 50 patients who received ketofol (propofol 1mg/kg + ketamine 0.5mg/kg)and group B which consisted of 50 patients who received etomidate (0.2 mg/kg body weight). Exclusion criteria included patients who declined the consent, age less than 20 years and greater than 65 years, patients undergoing ECT for a subsequent time without seizure on previous ECT session, major medical disorders such as diabetes, hypertension, respiratory disorders, ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular event, raised intracranial pressure due to any cause, ASA grade III

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Jitin George
Assistant Professor,
Department of Anaesthesia, P
K Das Medical College,
Vaniyamkulam, Ottapalam,
Palakkad, Kerala, India

ASA grade III and IV, agitated patients requiring additional sedation. Complete history, clinical examination in anaesthesia OPD or bed side in psychiatry ward were collected from all the patients. Currently using medication was recorded and were given constantly throughout the study. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients and was explained clearly to the patient in a clear, simple and vernacular language. After fasting overnight for at least 6 hours, the procedure was carried out in the morning in all the patients. The demographic data which includes age. body weight and ASA physical status were noted. All investigations such as haemogram, urine examination, chest x ray, ECG, blood urea, serum creatinine and serum electrolytes were done. Intravenous line was set up using 18 G cannula on arrival in the operation theatre. All patients were connected to multipara monitor. Systolic blood blood pressure, diastolic pressure, heart electrocardiogram, oxygen saturation were monitored prior to induction and throughout the procedure. Just before start of the procedure, all patients received pre-anaesthetic medications with injection glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg IV. Preoxygenation with 100% oxygen for 5 minutes was given to all patients. Anaesthesia was given with either etomidate at dose of 0.2 mg/kg, or ketofol (propofol 1mg/kg + ketamine 0.5mg/kg). The vital parameters were recorded. The systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, oxygen saturation were recorded before induction of anaesthesia (T0), after administering the study drug (Ti), after succinvlcholine (Ts), after ECT (Te), at one minute (T1), 3 minute (T3), five minute (T5), 10 minute (T10), 15 minute (T15) and 20 minute (T20). From the start of electrical impulse to end of clonic contraction using a stop watch, the duration of seizure activity was recorded in seconds by tourniquet method. The assessment of recovery was done on time to return of spontaneous breathing, time to return of eye opening and time to respond to verbal commands. Until the patient was discharged from post-anaesthetic care to psychiatry ward, side effects like nausea, vomiting, respiratory depression, and hypoxemia were noted. Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) was used to calculate the data obtained.

Results

In the tables, the data is presented as mean. The statistical significance level used was p< 0.05. Standard deviation is in brackets.

Table 1: Comparisons of mean systolic blood pressures among the groups

Time	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	P value
T0	113.85 (12.47)	114.22 (12.74)	0.074
Ti	106.25 (12.41)	106.64 (12.29)	0.091
Ts	108.67 (12.38)	107.11 (12.00)	0.062
Te	111.79 (12.14)	111.21 (12.92)	0.20
T1	114.55 (12.49)	115.30 (13.88)	0.080
T3	115.64 (12.13)	116.50 (12.82)	0.068
T5	114.28 (12.50)	114.99 (12.09)	0.092
T10	112.63 (12.07)	111.29 (12.95)	0.087
T15	111.35 (12.56)	110.81 (12.64)	0.086
T20	114.29 (13.09)	114.63 (13.59)	0.60

Table 1 shows that maximum increase in pulse rate was observed at the 3rd minute from the time of electrical stimulation. Comparison of the mean systolic blood pressure

in the study group was not statistically significant. Comparison of the mean diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial blood pressure in the study group was not statistically significant.

Table 2: Mean seizure duration and MMSE score (mini mental state examination score) in the study groups

	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	P value
Seizure duration (in Secs)	25.14 (3.98)	27.85 (4.67)	0.05
MMSE score	27.89 (1.66)	28.94 (1.58)	0.07

Table 2 shows that seizure duration in the study group showed statistically significant differences. Induction of high quality and longer seizures was reported in etomidate group. Groups do not differ significantly with regard to mean MMSE scores.

Table 3: Mean recovery time in the study groups.

	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	P value
Time to return of			
spontaneous	3.55 (0.21)	3.68 (0.60)	0.040
respiration (mins.)			
Time to eye opening	5.22 (1.28)	5.55 (1.69)	0.030
on command (mins)	3.22 (1.28)	3.33 (1.09)	0.030
Time to respond to			
verbal commands	7.50 (1.78)	7.60 (2.00)	0.025
(mins)			

Table 3 shows that group A had a shorter time to return of spontaneous respiration, time to eye opening on command and time to respond to verbal commands when compared to group B. No side effects were observed in the two groups.

Discussion

In the present study, maximum increase in pulse rate was observed at the 3rd minute from the time of electrical stimulation. Comparison of the mean systolic blood pressure in the study group was not statistically significant. Comparison of the mean diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial blood pressure in the study group was not statistically significant where as in Sawai Singh Jaitwat et al. [6] study, similar results were observed. In the present study, seizure duration in the study group showed statistically significant differences. Induction of high quality and longer seizures was reported in etomidate group and similar results were observed in Sawai Singh Jaitwat et al. [6] study. Group A had a shorter time to return of spontaneous respiration, time to eye opening on command and time to respond to verbal commands when compared to group B. No side effects were observed in the two groups and similar results were observed in Sawai Singh Jaitwat et al. [6] study. Similar results were observed in other studies which are Mohammad Reza Habibi et al. [7]; reported that no significant differences between the two groups regarding the changes of hemodynamic variables including systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressure, mean arterial pressure and heart rate, were notice (p>0.05). Muscle twitching was not observed in the two groups. Afsin Gholipour Baradari et al. [8]; conducted a study in which it was observed that decrease in all hemodynamic parameters (SBP, DBP, MAP and HR) from induction time to laryngoscopy was greater in the ketofol group (group B) than in the etomidate group (group A) (p< 0.05). The ephedrine prescription rate due to

hemodynamic changes was 24.4% (10 patients) and 5% (2 patients) in group B and group A, respectively (p=0.03). Erkman Sanri $et\ al.\ ^{[9]}$; reported that respiratory AE rate and proportion of patient who required a respiratory intervention were significantly higher with ketofol (p1/4 0.0029). Overall AE rate and rates of desaturation, emergence reaction were also significantly higher in ketofol group. Patel AS $et\ al.\ ^{[10]}$; reported that patients who received propofol had a significantly longer course of ECT, higher seizure thresholds, and increased amounts of electrical charge (mC) over their course. There were no significant differences in adverse events with either of the induction agents.

Conclusion

Etomidate and Ketofol do not significantly impact cognitive functioning and etomidate and ketofol provide adequate hemodynamic stability. Patients who received ketofol had a shorter time to return of spontaneous respiration, time to eye opening on command and time to respond to verbal commands when compared to patients who received etomidate.

References

- Wagner KJ, Möllenberg O, Rentrop M, Werner C, Kochs EF. Guide to anaesthetic selection for electrocon- vulsive therapy. CNS Drugs. 2005; 19:745-58.
- 2. Shams T, El-Masry R. Ketofol-Dexmedetomidine combination in ECT: A punch for depression and agitation. Ind. J Anaesth. 2014; 58:275-80.
- Ding Z, White PF. Anaesthesia for electroconvulsive therapy. Anaesthesia and Analgesia. 2002; 94:1351-1364
- 4. Wang X, Chen Y, Zhou X, Liu F, Zhang T, Zhang C. Ef- facts of propofol and ketamine as combined anaesthesia for electroconvulsive therapy in patients with depres- sive disorder. The Journal of ECT. 2012; 28:128-132.
- Premendran B, Sharma V, Earnestly Dr, Dhande P, Jain S. Comparison of the hemodynamic effects and seizure activity during ECT with thipentone and propofol used as inducing agents. IOSR Journal of Pharmacy. 2012; 2:34-48.
- 6. Sawai Singh Jaitawat, Manu Sharma, Devendra Mohan Mathur, Amandeep; A comparative study of haemodynamic effects, recovery pattern and seizure activity of propofol, ketofol and etomidate in modified electroconvulsive therapy; International journal of contemporary medical research. 2016; 3:3.
- Mohammad Reza Habibi, Aria Soleimani, Amir Emami Zevdi, Hamid Sharif Nia; Haemodynamic responses to etomidate versus ketamine-thiopental sodium combination for anaesthetic induction in coronary artery bypass graft surgery patients with low ejection fraction: A double blind, randomised, clinical trial, Journal of clinical and diagnostic research. 2014; 8(10): 01-05.
- 8. Afshin Gholipour Baradari, Abbas Alipour, Mohammad Reza Habibi, Sajedeh Rashidaei, Amir Emami Zeydi; A randomized clinical trial comparing hemodynamic responses to ketamine propofol combination (ketofol) versus etomidate during anaesthesia induction in patients with left ventricular dysfunction undergoing coronary artery bypass graft

- surgery; Arch Med Sci; August, 2017, 1102-1116.
- Erkman Sanri, Sinan Karacabey, Haldun Akoglu, Bora Kaya, Ozlem Guneysel; Comparison of ketamine/propofol and etomidate/fentanyl combinations for procedural sedation and analgesia in the emergency department; Turkish journal of emergency medicine. 2017; 17:89-94.
- 10. Patel AS, Gorst-Unsworth C, Venn RM, Kelley K, Jacob Y. Anaesthesia and electroconvulsive therapy: a retrospective study comparing etomidate and propofol. The Journal of ECT. 2006; 22:179-83.